

IN THE CLAIMS:

Kindly amend the claims as follows:

1. (Currently Amended) Method for separating entrained particles from a gas in a fluidised bed reactor system which comprises a separation region defined by a cylindrical r -, φ -, z - coordinate system, the method comprising the consecutive steps of:

-leading the gas in the z -direction (axial direction),

-diverting the gas to flow substantially in the r -direction (radial direction), while keeping the gas circumferentially distributed in r φ -planes, wherein the gas ~~is allowed to flow~~ flows to and/or from substantially the whole circumference of the separation region in the $r\varphi$ - planes, and

-mechanically separating the particles from the gas while the gas is flowing substantially in the r -direction, the gas continuing to flow substantially in the r -direction after mechanical separation.

2. (Currently Amended) Method according to claim 1, comprising the further steps of:

-causing the gas having flown in the r -direction to flow in ~~the~~ a reversed r -direction, and

-mechanically separating the particles from the gas while the gas is flowing in a reversed r -direction.

3. (Currently Amended) Method according to claim 1, wherein in the cylindrical coordinate system (r, ϕ, z) the gas is initially directed from a larger r -value towards a smaller r -value for a first separation step of at least one separation step, and after a last separation step of the at least one separation step ~~in which last~~, wherein, in the last separation step the gas is directed towards a smaller r -value, leading the gas away in the z -direction.

4. (Currently Amended) Method for separating entrained particles from a gas in a fluidised bed reactor system, comprising the steps of:

- causing the gas to flow in a stacked multileveled flow with consecutive particle separation levels X_N ($X_1, X_2, X_3, \dots, X_N \dots$), N being an integer,

- directing the gas to flow in a first direction on the first level X_1 ,

- bringing the gas to the next level X_2 from the first level X_1 ,

- directing the gas to flow in a direction reversed to the first direction on the next level X_2 , so as to create a doubled-back flow path

- bringing the gas to additional particle separation levels, so as to cause the gas to flow in the first direction on levels with odd-numbered N and in the reversed direction on levels with even-numbered N , and

- mechanically separating the particles from the gas on each level.

5. (Previously Presented) Method according to claim 4, in which the gas is caused to flow from a center zone to a circumference of the center zone or vice versa, whereby the directions are essentially radial directions in respect of the center zone and the circumference associated thereto.

6. (Previously Presented) Fluidised bed reactor system including a particle separator for separating entrained particles from a gas having a flow path, comprising a set of non-centrifugal mechanical separator elements disposed in the flow path of the gas, so that the gas is able to pass between the separator elements while the inertia of the particles directs them to the separator elements upon which they impinge and are separated and removed from the gas flow, wherein the set of separator elements is arranged in a configuration having a center zone with a center axis, and a circumference, wherein directional means are provided for directing the gas so that gas passing through the set of separator elements flows from the circumference to the center zone of the configuration or vice versa.

7. (Previously Presented) System according to claim 6, in which the set of separator elements is arranged as a structure having consecutive particle separation levels X_N ($X_1, X_2, X_3, \dots, X_n \dots$), N being an integer, wherein the directional means are arranged at the circumference and at the center zone of the configuration, so as to cause the gas to

flow through the set of separator elements in one direction on levels with odd-numbered N and in the reversed direction on levels with even-numbered N.

8. (Previously Presented) Fluidised bed reactor system including a particle separator for separating entrained particles from a gas having a flow path, comprising a set of non-centrifugal mechanical separator elements disposed in the flow path of the gas, so that the gas is able to pass between the separator elements while the inertia of the particles directs them to the separator elements upon which they impinge and are separated and removed from the gas flow, wherein the set of separator elements is arranged as a structure having consecutive particle separation levels X_N ($X_1, X_2, X_3, \dots, X_n \dots$), N being an integer, wherein directional means are arranged to cause the gas to flow through the various levels of the structure in one direction on levels with odd-numbered N and in the reversed direction on levels with even-numbered N.

9. (Previously Presented) System according to claim 8, in which the set of separator elements is arranged in a configuration having a center zone with a center axis, and a circumference, wherein the directional means are located at the circumference and at the center zone of the configuration, so as to cause the gas to pass through the set of separator elements from the circumference to the center zone of the configuration or vice versa.

10. (Currently Amended) System according to claim 6, wherein the configuration has a generally cylindrical shape, ~~preferably with~~ wherein the separator elements are arranged essentially symmetrically.

11. (Previously Presented) System according to claim 6, wherein the separator elements have an elongated shape and extend essentially in parallel with the center axis.

12. (Previously Presented) System according to claim 6, wherein the separator elements are channel-shaped beams having an essentially U-shaped cross-section, wherein the beams are arranged so that the particles impinge upon the bottom of the U and then fall down, guided by the channel-shaped beam, to be collected.

13. (Previously Presented) System according to claim 6, in which the set of separator elements forms a number of ring-shaped arrays being placed within each other.

14. (Original) System according to claim 13, in which the separator elements of an array are circumferentially displaced with respect to the separator elements of an adjacent array.

15. (Previously Presented) System according to claim 12, in which each U-shaped beam is provided with a respective additional U-shaped beam attached in parallel thereto,

each of the additional U-shaped beams being provided with a respective further U-shaped beam separator element attached in parallel thereto, forming a unit with three U-shaped beam channels, dividing plates being inserted in at least two U-shaped beam channels for mechanical segregation of the channels and a section of at least one of the elements in the unit being removed, so as to create three particle separation levels of impinge areas, one for each element in the unit, wherein the directional means are arranged to direct the gas in alternative level directions.

16. (Previously Presented) System according to claim 6, wherein the particle separator is located inside a reactor, and wherein the center axis is in parallel with the axis of the reactor.

17. (Previously Presented) System according to claim 6, wherein the configuration is circular cylindrical.

18. (Previously Presented) Method according to claim 2, wherein in the cylindrical coordinate system (r, ϕ, z) the gas is initially directed from a larger r -value towards a smaller r -value, for a first separation step of at least one separation step, and after a last separation step of the at least one separation step in which last separation step the gas is directed towards a smaller r -value, leading the gas away in the z -direction.

19. (Currently Amended) System according to claim 7, wherein the configuration has a generally cylindrical shape, ~~preferably with~~ wherein the separator elements ~~being~~ are arranged essentially symmetrically.

20. (Previously Presented) System according to claim 9, wherein the configuration has a generally cylindrical shape, preferably with the separator elements being arranged essentially symmetrically.

21. Cancelled.

REMARKS

Reconsideration is requested for claims 1-3, 10, 16, and 18-20.

Initially, the undersigned wishes to thank Examiner Hopkins for his time, attention, and consideration during the interview that was conducted at the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office in connection with this application on October 14, 2003. The Examiner is requested to send a copy of the Examiner's Interview Summary, which could not be printed following the interview, at his convenience to ensure that the applicants' file is complete.

During the interview, the Examiner indicated that claim 1, which was rejected under 35 U.S. C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,045,688 to *Ruottu et al.*, would be allowable if amended as presented above. Claim 1 has been amended and is submitted to be in condition for allowance.

The applicants note that the language added to claim 1 reciting "the gas continuing to flow substantially in the r-direction after mechanical separation" is merely directed to better distinguish the present invention from a cyclone separator as disclosed in *Ruottu et al.* wherein, after mechanical separation, the air flows in a ϕ direction. The claim as amended does not preclude the air flow from, after continuing to flow substantially in the r-direction after mechanical separation, subsequently flowing in a direction other than substantially in the r-direction.

Claims 4-9, 11-15, and 17 were previously allowed. In the present Official Action, claims 6-9 and 11-17 were allowed, apparently because claims 4-5 were rejected under 35

U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph. Claims 4-5 have been amended to incorporate the subject matter of claim 21, which has been cancelled, to overcome the rejection and withdrawal of the rejection and allowance of claims 4-5 is cordially urged. It is submitted that the claims are allowable for at least the reasons noted in the Official Action.

Claims 3 and 10 were objected to. Claims 3 and 10 have been amended to address the grounds for objection and withdrawal of the objection is cordially urged.

Claims 4, 5, and 21 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph. The subject matter of dependent claim 21 has been re-incorporated into claim 4 from which it was previously deleted, which is submitted to overcome the grounds for rejection.

Withdrawal of the rejection is cordially urged.

Claims 1-3, and 18-20 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph. The claims have been amended to address the grounds for rejection and withdrawal of the rejection is cordially urged.


It is respectfully submitted that all of the pending claims are allowable. Allowance is cordially urged.

If the Examiner should be of the opinion that a telephone conference would be helpful in resolving any issues, the Examiner is urged to contact the undersigned.

Respectfully submitted,

BURNS, DOANE, SWECKER & MATHIS, L.L.P.

Date: Oct 16, 2003

By: 
Harold R. Brown III
Registration No. 36,341

P.O. Box 1404
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1404
(703) 836-6620